

**Presenter Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Instructions:** Please fill out each section below, marking the appropriate 'bubble' and providing one comment for each of the evaluation points below. Please consider that the topics range enormously and some articles are inherently more complex than others. Include if you wish constructive points so that the presenter may incorporate these into future presentations.

\*\*\*\*\*

Not at thorough

Moderately thorough

Very thorough

**Background & context (Was the research anchored in broader issues relevant to ecology or conservation?)**

Not at all clear

Moderately clear

Very effective

**Did the presenter make the research objectives/hypotheses of the article clear?**

Not at all clear

Moderately clear

Very clear

**Were the methods presented in the article clearly presented?**

Not at all effective

Moderately effective

Very effective

**Were the results well-explained and the conclusions justified?**

A poor presentation, with logical flaws and gaps

A competent, generally informative presentation

Among the best presentations I have ever seen

**Overall quality of the presentation including handout, presentation style and content?**

**Evaluator:** \_\_\_\_\_